October 17, 1969

Mr. James Rewlay, Director United States Secret Service Weshington, D.C.

Dear ir. Rowley,

Enclosed are a copy of my today's letter to Dr. Phoads and the enclosure, marked as is the copy to him.

You will recognize, I am certain, that I have also asked for these public records and not been given them by your sgency. I herewith renew my request for these and any others you may have turned over to the Irchives, made from whatever copies remain in your sustedy or accessible to you.

It is difficult for me to consider it morely on oversight, carelessness or snything other than willful official suppression that I have been denic access to that cannot properly be denicd to. In addition to other applicable law and regulation, it is my belief this is an open violation of the Freedom of Information act, in this context, "so-called".

Phis belief is fortified by the unquestionabl official suppression relating to just this evidence that is already a matter of public record, if no attention has been given it. In the event it has escaped your attention, let me refer gou to the statem ats of Complesion counsel when he introduced Exhibit 397 into evidence (38373):

"...the identical document which has previously been identified as Commission No. 371 for our internal purposes."

If you will exemine the printed version of Commission No. 371, published as axhibit 597 (17829-48), you will not find the enclosed page from it, the receipt by your agency to Admiral Burkley. But this page at the top is electly identified as "Commission No. 371". By expunding from the evidence this receipt for these decements, their existence was effectively suppressed. In four years of trying, " have not been able to get to study them.

necently a have restudied the existing evidence about the original sutopsy notes. They permit no doubt that your agency received from the Nevy certain notes in Dr. Lumes' hand-ariting that are not in any file and shows existence has been denied me. Specifically, the organizative receipt your agency gave the Archives to sign when you delivered some originals is in error.

In order that I may know which of you is telling me the truth, for you both cannot be, I say for a copy of all files you have turned ever to the irchives and a record sufficiently specific to permit individual identification of all files on this subject you have maintained and still exist.

Sincerely,